# 3 4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

### FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: STATIC RANDOM ACCESS (SRAM) No. M 07-1819 CW ANTITRUST LITIGATION (MDL No. 1819) This Order Relates to All Cases ADMINISTRATIVE Listed on Attachment A ORDER

The Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ("the Panel") has transferred to this Court for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings certain actions relating to an alleged conspiracy to fix the price of Static Random Access Memory, which is often referred to as "SRAM" (MDL 1819). The cases listed on Attachment A were filed in the Northern District of California and related to C-06-6491 CW, Dataplex, Inc. v. Alliance Semiconductor, et al., the underlying case in MDL 1819. These actions involve questions of fact that are common to the actions transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of California. in the Northern District of California has established M-07-1819 CW, In Re Static Random Access Memory (SRAM) Antitrust Litigation, as the Master Docket for all SRAM litigation cases. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that all cases listed on Attachment A

## Case 4:06-cv-07428-CW Document 11 Filed 04/25/07 Page 2 of 3

shall be included in Master Docket M-07-1819 CW, <u>In re Static</u>

<u>Random Access Memory (SRAM) Antitrust Litigation</u>, for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings. All pleadings relating to two or more actions shall be filed in the Master Docket. If a pleading relates to a single action, the pleading shall be filed in the individual action. For subsequent cases filed in the Northern District of California, an Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related shall be filed in C-06-6491 CW, <u>Dataplex</u>, Inc. v. Alliance Semiconductor, et al. Upon the Court relating the subsequent cases, they shall automatically be included on the Master Docket.

	4/25/07	
Dated		

Claudia WILKEN

United States District Judge

# For the Northern District of California

# ATTACHMENT A

3		
	4-06-6541	Madsen, et al. v. Samsung Electronics, et al.,
4	4-06-6542	Maites, et al. v. Samsung Electronics, et al.,
	4-06-6652	Westell Technologies v. Alliance Semiconductor, et al.
5	4-06-6663	Takeda v. Alliance Semiconductor, et al.
	4-06-6668	Juskiewicz v. Samsung Electronics, et al.
6	4-06-6698	Chip-Tech, Ltd. v. Cypress Semiconductor, et al.
	4-06-6770	Ayers, et al. v. Samsung Electronics, et al.
	4-06-7006	Thompson, et al. v. Alliance Semiconductor, et al.
	4-06-7007	Stargate Films, Inc. v. Alliance Semiconductor, et al.
8	4-06-7194	Katz v. Samsung Electronics, et al.
_	4-06-7428	Markey v. Alliance Semiconductor, et al.
	4-06-7637	Telular Corp. v. Alliance Semiconductor, et al.
	4-06-7731	Reedy v. Cypress Semiconductor, et al.
10	4-06-7851	Autotime Corp. v. Samsung Electronics, et al.
11	4-06-7950	Greenwell, et al. v. Alliance Semiconductor, et al.
11	4-07-0228	Ralik v. Cypress Semiconductor, et al.
10	4-07-0509	Baranic v. Samsung Electronics, et al.
12	4-07-0916	Barnes v. Alliance Semiconductor, et al.
12	4-07-0918	Perez v. Alliance Semiconductor, et al.
13	4-07-0949 4-07-0950	Koch v. Samsung Electronics, et al.
14	4-07-0950	Hochstein v. Samsung Electronics, et al.
	4-07-0969	Kreitzer, et al. v. Cypress Semiconductor, et al. Salzman, et al. v. Cypress Semiconductor, et al.
	4-07-1033	Berezin v. Alliance Semiconductor, et al.
13	T 0/ 103/	belezin v. Alliance benilconductor, et al.
	1	